Checklist for Interdisciplinary Review of Categorical Exclusions

Document Number: DOI-	-BLM-AZ-G010-2015	5-0022-C	X	Ca	se File	Number: 06110		
Preparer Name and Title	: Jason Martin, Ran	geland N	/lanage	ement	Specia	list		
Date Scoping Initiated: 5/11/2015					Date Scoping Closed:			
Roberta (Thomas J. Schnell, AFM F	SUDOL OUT	tino Resource) es	Bio	ologist	Assigned: Jeff Conn		
An ULL	7/6/	15		0	Dm	y Corather		
Acting AFM For Renewab	le Resources			N	EPA Co	ordinator: Amy Corath	ers	
Acting AFM For Renewab Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field	ed ac	ting		N	EPA Co	ordinator: Amy Corath	ers	
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other	Manager	Affec		Comr	nents	Document Review	Pate	
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other Issues	Manager Specialist	Affec Yes	cted No					
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other Issues 1. NRHPP/Cultural	Manager Specialist Dan McGrew			Comr	nents	Document Review		
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other Issues 1. NRHPP/Cultural 2. T&E Species	Manager Specialist Dan McGrew Jeff Conn			Comr	nents	Document Review		
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other Issues 1. NRHPP/Cultural 2. T&E Species 3. Floodplains/Wetlands	Specialist Dan McGrew Jeff Conn Jason Martin			Comr	nents	Document Review		
Scott C. Cooke, SFO Field Critical Elements & Other Issues 1. NRHPP/Cultural 2. T&E Species	Manager Specialist Dan McGrew Jeff Conn			Comr	nents	Document Review		

Planning and Environmental Coordinator: Why Corathurs

Assistant Field Manager – Reviewed/Recommended

Attachments: _

NEPA COMPLIANCE RECORD CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION (CX)

Safford Field Office

NEPA #: DOI-BLM-AZ-G010-2015-0022-CX

Serial/Case File No. Hardscrabble Wash (#06110) Transfer

Proposed Action Title/Type: The Proposed action is the transfer of grazing preference on the Hardscrabble Wash Allotment.

Location of Proposed Action (include name of 7.5 topographic map): The Hardscrabble Wash Allotment is located in Navajo County approximately 18 miles north of St. Johns, Arizona. This area is covered by Cedar Lake Wash USGS Quadrangle 7.5 Minute Map. See Attached Map.

Description of Proposed Action: Transfer the existing grazing preference from the current permittee (Troy and Tim Kreutzer) to Cy and Brooke White.

Applicant (if any): Cy and Brooke White

PART I: PLAN CONFORMANCE REVIEW. This proposed action is subject to the following land use plan: Safford District Resource Management Plan (RMP) and Records of Decision approved September 1992 and July 1994.

The proposed action has been reviewed and determined to be in conformance with this plan [43 CFR 1610.5, BLM MS 1601.04(C)(2)].

Specialist Signature

PROGRAM CONSULTATION & COORDINATION/CX CHECKLIST BUREAU OF LAND MANAGEMENT SAFFORD FIELD OFFICE

PART II: CATEGORICAL EXCLUSION REVIEW

ASSIGNMENT AND REVIEW

NEPA #: <u>DOI-BLM-AZ-G010-2015-0022-CX</u>

Subactivity:__

· c r		10	그리고 있는 이번 시간 사람이 되는 항상을 시간하고 있다면 없었다.		
	Review: U ical Revie	nit Manager/Si w:	upervisor: Date:		
App Yes	lies? No	NAME	EXCEPTION	SIGNATURE	DATE
()	(X)	R.J. Estes	(1) Have Significant adverse effects on public health or safety?	22	9115
()	(X)	Jason Martin	(2) Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as principal drinking water aquifers, or wetlands.	For	9/3/15
()	(X)	Fodd Murded	(3) Have adverse effects on such unique geographic characteristics as parks, recreation or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, or ecologically significant or critical areas including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks.	Todd	9/11/15
()	(4)	Dan McGrew	(4) Have adverse effects on properties listed or eligible for listing on the National Register of Historic Places, on such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources. Violate a Federal law, or a State, local, or tribal law or requirement imposed for the protection of the environment. Limit access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites on Federal lands by Indian religious practitioners.	Da Ma	30 Sul
()		Jeff Conn	(5) Have adverse effects on species listed on the List of Endangered or Threatened Species, or have adverse effects on designated Critical Habitat for these species.	MI	8/31/13
()	(4)	Jeff Conn	(6) Contribute to the introduction, continuation existence, or spread of noxious weeds or non-native invasive species.	2/1_	8/31/15
()	W	Jason Martin	(7) Have highly controversial environmental effects	Bu	9/2/15
()	(N)	Marh	(8) Have highly uncertain and potentially significant environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risks.	fsm	9/2/15
()	(X)	Jason Martin	(9) Establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about future actions with potentially significant environmental effects.	Jen	9/2/15
()	\otimes	Jason Martin	(10) Individually Insignificant, but cumulatively significant effects.	J8m	9/2/18
()	(X)	Sharisse Fisher	(11) Have a disproportionately high and adverse effect on low income or minority populations.	Stohen	1/27/16

This proposed action qualifies as a categorical exclusion under the National Environmental Policy Act in accordance with 516 DM 11.9 D(1): Rangeland Management-Approval of transfers of grazing preference.

This categorical exclusion is appropriate in this situation because there are no extraordinary circumstances potentially having effects that may significantly affect the environment. It has been reviewed to determine if an of the exceptions described in 516 DM 2, Appendix 2, apply.

The action does not have significant adverse effects on public health and safety nor does the action adversely affect such unique geographic characteristics as historic or cultural resources, parks, recreation, or refuge lands, wilderness areas, wild or scenic rivers, sole or principal drinking water aquifers, prime farmlands, wetlands, floodplains, or ecologically significant or critical areas, including those listed on the Department's National Register of Natural Landmarks. The action does not have highly controversial environmental effects nor have highly uncertain environmental effects or involve unique or unknown environmental risk nor does it adversely affect a species listed or proposed to be listed on the list of endangered or threatened species. It does not establish a precedent for future action or represent a decision in principle about a future consideration with significant environmental effects or related to other actions with individually insignificant but cumulatively significant environmental effects. The proposed action does not adversely affect properties listed or eligible for listing in the National Register of Historic Places or threaten to violate a Federal, State, local or tribal law or requirements imposed for the protection of the environment or which require compliance with Executive Order 11988 (Floodplain Management), Executive Order 11990 (Protection of Wetlands) or the Fish and Wildlife Coordination Act.

Mitigation Measures/Stipulations:

No mitigation measures or stipulations are warranted in this transfer.

Part III: DECISION. I have reviewed this plan conformance and NEPA compliance record and have determined that the proposed action does not conflict with major land-use-plans and will not have any major adverse impacts on other resources. Therefore, it does not represent an exception, and is categorically excluded from further environmental review. It is my decision to implement the project, as described, with the mitigation measures attached.

Authorized Official:

Date: 1/29/16

